

# BIODIVERSITY, ECOSYSTEM SERVICES, AND AGRICULTURE

- **Dr. Elizabeth T. Kennedy**, Director, Evaluation and Research, Rainforest Alliance (Chair)
- **Dr. Gary Paoli**, Director of Research and Project Development, Daemeter Consulting (Panelist)
- **Ms. Elizabeth Clarke**, Business and Biodiversity Programme Manager, Zoological Society of London (Panelist)
- **Mr. Nuno Viela**, Director, Department of Environmental Studies, Sinergiae Ambiente (Panelist)
- **Mr. Juan Jose Dada**, International Finance Corporation



# SESSION OBJECTIVES

- Discuss and share perspectives on **tools and methods to evaluate impacts of agriculture**, both for new agricultural expansion and for existing production areas.
- Explore the strengths and limitations of **the High Conservation Value (HCV) approach** in the context of agriculture, and identify priorities for strengthening HCV as both an analytical tool and a conservation tool.
- Discuss the sources and level of **demand for information on the biodiversity and ecosystem consequences of agriculture**, and brainstorm ways to increase the value (and therefore interest) of this information to agricultural supply chains and society.



# QUESTIONS

- How can the HCV approach be used more effectively to prevent biodiversity loss, aid in mitigation, and improve impact assessment
  - Is there clarity on what the HCV approach aims to achieve, and what it does not?
  - What do you perceive as the major strengths and weaknesses of the approach (be specific)?
  - What are the priorities for improving the application and benefit of the HCV approach, and what would it take to make these things happen?
- What is the quality of information that is being used for EIA and site selection processes for agricultural development / expansion projects?
  - Is it adequate, if not, what are the barriers to and opportunities for doing better?
  - To what degree is cost a factor, and do we see any opportunities to expand the mandate for EIA, spatial planning, and impact assessment for agriculture so that more resources can be devoted to this endeavor?

- As food companies, investors, and consumers all show increasing interest in supporting “sustainable” food production and avoiding unsustainable practices, do we have a common concept of what sustainability means, with respect to biodiversity and ecosystems?
  - To what degree is forest conversion and / or biodiversity loss acceptable in the context of agriculture?
  - What level of “environmental externalities” are acceptable – e.g. nutrient loading, other agrochemical runoff, GHG emissions, groundwater withdrawal or appropriation of surface waters?
  - To what degree must “sustainable” agriculture provide habitat for native species within production zones themselves (e.g. fields/pastures/peripheral areas)?
- How can ecosystem services from agricultural landscapes be more accurately and cost-effectively monitored – both in the context of EIA and in the context of assessing impacts of eco-certification? What are the key innovations in sampling, monitoring, modeling, and use of proxy measures?

